Texas Education Agency

2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

District Name: RADIANCE ACADEMY OF LEARNING **District ID:** 015815

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two						
			Region		African			American		Pacific	or More	Special	Econ				
STAAR Percent At		State						Indian			Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
	UI ADU	e Levi	ei ii Sai	.isiacioi y	Stanuaru	(2010)	OI FIIAS	se-III I Lev	ei ii (2	013)							
Grade 3 Reading	2016	72%	69%	67%	*	*	*	-	*	_	*	*	64%	_	64%	*	_
ŭ	2015	74%	71%	46%	*	48%	*	-	-	-	*	*	45%	*	59%	33%	-
Mathematics	2016		71%	60%	*	*	*	-	*	-	*	*	57%	-	55%	*	-
	2015	74%	71%	43%	*	39%	*	-	-	-	*	*	41%	*	41%	44%	-
Grade 4													/	*			
Reading	2016 2015		70% 68%	53% 32%	*	45% *	*	-	*	-	*	*	53% 29%	*	56% 63%	*	-
Mathamatica	2016	700/	600/	470/	*	*	*				*	*	470/	*	*	*	
Mathematics	2016 2015		68% 67%	47% *	*	*	*	-	*	-	*	*	47% *	*	*	*	-
Writing	2016	68%	63%	57%	*	50%	*	_	_	_	*	*	57%	*	*	*	-
····g	2015		63%	24%	*	*	*	-	*	-	*	*	*	*	63%	*	-
Grade 5																	
Reading	2016		78%	*	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	*	*	-
	2015	83%	83%	100%		100%		-	-	-			100%		100%	100%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		83% 74%	50% 43%	*	*	*	-	-	-	- *	*	* 45%	-	*	*	-
	2013	1370	7 4 70					-	-	-			4570				-
Science	2016 2015		70% 67%	* 36%	*	*	*	-	-	-	- *	*	*	-	*	*	-
	2013	0970	07 70	30 /6				-	-	-							-
Grade 6 Reading	2016	68%	66%	51%	*	47%	83%	_	_	_	_	*	53%	24%	56%	46%	_
rtcaulig	2015		71%	59%	*	58%	*	-	*	-	*	*	56%	36%	57%	60%	-
Mathematics	2016	71%	67%	46%	*	41%	83%	_	_	_	_	*	46%	36%	42%	49%	_
Matromatos	2015		69%	53%	*	54%	*	-	*	-	*	*	54%	42%	53%	53%	-
Grade 7																	
Reading	2016		67%	50%	*	54%	*	*	- *	-	- *	*	45%	32%	66%	39%	*
	2015	12%	71%	44%		39%		-		-			43%	31%	42%	47%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		66% 68%	53% 46%	*	52% 46%	*	*	-	-	- *	*	48% 49%	47% 42%	44% 39%	59% 53%	*
	2013	00 /0	00 /0	40 /6		40 /0		-		-			4970	42 /0	3970	JJ /6	=
Writing	2016 2015		65% 67%	53% 41%	*	58% 41%	*	*	- *	-	- *	*	48% 41%	38% 23%	68% 50%	43% 31%	*
	2010	0070	01 70	4170		4170							4170	2070	0070	0170	
Grade 8 Reading	2016	85%	85%	66%	*	65%	*	_	_	_	*	*	64%	30%	69%	62%	_
. touanig	2015		83%	75%	*	74%	83%	-	-	-	-	*	70%	57%	75%	75%	-
Mathematics	2016	80%	75%	71%	*	69%	*	-	_	-	*	*	69%	70%	66%	76%	-
	2015	71%	64%	50%	*	53%	*	-	-	-	-	*	43%	71%	50%	50%	-
Science	2016		70%	39%	*	39%	*	-	-	-	*	*	36%	*	37%	41%	-
	2015	67%	64%	23%	*	25%	*	-	-	-	-	*	24%	*	21%	25%	-
Social Studies	2016		58%	29%	*	33%	*	-	-	-	*	*	23%	*	*	41%	-
	2015	61%	57%	17%	*	13%	*	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	*	22%	-
End of Course	0040	2221	0.40/			4.407							070/	000/	450/	4.40/	
English I	2016 2015		61% 66%	43% 56%	*	44% 57%	*	-	-	-	-	*	37% 60%	26% 44%	45% 57%	41% 55%	-
Cambial: U												*					
English II	2016 2015		65% 68%	49% 84%	-	51% 82%	*	-	-	-	*	*	65% 84%	36% 67%	45% 86%	53% 82%	-
Algebra I	2016	76%	73%	73%	*	73%	*	_	_	_		*	65%	62%	79%	65%	_
, ugobia i	2015	77%	75%	67%	-	68%	*	-	-	-	-	-	63%	67%	57%	78%	-

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2... 1/9/2018

											Two or						
		State	Region 20 I	A District Ar	African nerican	ıHispani	ic White	America Indian		Pacific Islande	More	Specia Ed		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Biology	2016 2015		85% 89%	81% 64%	*	79% 66%	*	-	- -	- -	- -	*	74% 65%	74% 58%	86% 67%	74% 61%	- -
U.S. History	2016 2015		90% 89%	100% 100%	-	100% 100%	*	-	-	-	*	*	100% 100%	100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	-
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		71% 71%	55% 50%	50% 43%	54% 50%	64% 52%	*	*	<u>-</u> -	70% 38%	33% 35%	52% 48%	40% 39%	56% 52%	53% 48%	*
Reading	2016 2015		69% 72%	52% 59%	56% 52%	51% 58%	57% 80%	*	*	- -	*	33% 50%	52% 57%	29% 40%	57% 61%	47% 57%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		72% 70%	58% 48%	56% 37%	56% 51%	83% 41%	*	*	- -	*	24% 24%	55% 47%	52% 47%	55% 48%	61% 49%	*
Writing	2016 2015		64% 65%	54% 37%	*	57% 39%	*	*	- *	- -	*	*	50% 37%	38% 21%	64% 52%	46% 22%	*
Science	2016 2015		75% 74%	58% 39%	*	58% 41%	83%	-	-	-	*	*	52% 38%	48% 37%	62% 41%	54% 37%	-
Social Studies	2016 2015		74% 73%	51% 40%	*	54% 39%	*	-	-	-	*	*	38% 35%	36%	45% 39%	56% 40%	- -
STAAR Percent at F	Final Le	evel II o	or Above)													
All Grades All Subjects	2016		39%	20%	16%	20%	22%	*	*	-	40%	19%	17%	13%	19%	21%	*
Reading	2015	42%	36% 39%	16% 20%	11% 25%	17% 19%	10% 24%	*	*	-	19% * *	17% 22%	16% 18%	9% 8%	16% 22%	17% 17%	*
Mathematics	2015	40%	38% 36%	23% 17%	15% 19%	23% 16%	24% 17%	*	*	-	*	19% 16%	22% 16%	14% 15%	25% 12%	21%	*
Writing	2015	39%	32% 35%	11% 21%	7% * *	12% 23%	0%	*	- -	-	*	12%	12% 16%	5% 10%	8% 31%	14%	*
Science	2015	44%	28% 41%	12% 16%	*	14% 17%	17%	-	<u>-</u>	-	*	*	10%	7% 12%	17% 9%	6% 24%	-
Social Studies	2015	45%	38% 44%	12% 35%	*	12% 35%	*	-	-	-	*	*	10% 27%	7% 29% *	9% 33%	14% 36%	-
STAAD Dercent at I	2015		40%	19%	*	20%	*	-	=	-	-	*	18%	*	17%	21%	-
STAAR Percent at I	_evei iii	Auvai	nceu														
All Subjects	2016 2015		15% 13%	6% 4%	11% 6%	6% 3%	2% 3%	*	*	-	30% 6%	9% 6%	5% 3%	2% 1%	5% 3%	7% 4%	*
Reading	2016 2015		14% 14%	6% 6%	19% 7%	6% 5%	0% 4%	*	*	-	*	7% 4%	6% 5%	1% 2%	6% 6%	6% 6%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		15% 12%	6% 3%	13% 4%	6% 2%	0% 0%	*	*	-	*	16% 4%	5% 3%	4% 0%	4% 1%	8% 5%	*
Writing	2016 2015		11% 7%	4% 0%	*	5% 0%	*	*	- *	-	*	*	5% 0%	0% 0%	8% 0%	2% 0%	*
Science	2016 2015		14% 13%	3% 2%	*	4% 1%	0%	-	-	-	*	*	0% 3%	0% 0%	0% 0%	7% 3%	-
Social Studies	2016 2015		20% 18%	15% 6%	*	14% 5%	*	-	-	-	*	*	11% 4%	7% *	12% 7%	18% 5%	- -
STAAR Participatio	n (All G	Grades)														
All Tests		201 201		99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* * - 100	- % -	100% 100%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% -
Reading		201 201		99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	99% 99%	100% 100%	* * - 100	- % -	* 100%	97% 96%	99% 100%	100% 97%	99% 98%	99% 100%	* -
Mathematics		201 201			100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* * - 100	- % -	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 99%	*
Writing		201 201		100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* <u>-</u> - 100	- % -	* 100%	* 100%		100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	*

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker?_service=marykay&year4=2015&year2... 1/9/2018

Science Social Studies	2016 2015 2016 2015	99% 99% 98% 99%	99% 99% 99%	99% 100% 99% 100%	100% 100% * 100%	99% 100% 99% 100%	6 100% 100%	, - , -	- - -		-	* 100% * -	100% 100% 100% 100%	99% 100% 98% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	98% 100% 98% 100%	100% 100% 100% 100%	-
STAAR Participation Result	s by As	sessme	ent Type	for Stu	ıdents	Serve	d in Spe	cial E	duca	tion	Set	tings (A	III Grade	es)				
Reading Tests																		
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	20	16 98	% 989	% 93'	% 10	00%	91%	*	-	-	-	-	93%	95%	*	88%	100%	*
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	20	16 13	% 149	% 17	% 2	0%	14%	*	-	-	-		17%	23%	*	19%	15%	*
Accommodations	20	16 73	% 739	% 66°	% 6	0%	68%	*	-	-	-		66%	59%	*	63%	69%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	20	16 11	% 119	% 10 '	% 2	0%	9%	*	-	-	-		10%	14%		6%	15%	*
% of Non-Participants	20	16 29	6 2%	6 7 %	6 ()%	9%	*	-	-	-	-	7%	5%	*	13%	0%	*
Mathematics Tests																		
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	20	16 99	% 99%	% 100)% 10	00%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	6 *	100%	100%	*
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	20	16 12	% 129	% 12	% 2	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	12%	16%	*	8%	15%	*
Accommodations	20	16 75	% 749	% 76 '	% 6	0%	80%	-	-	-	-		76%	68%	*	83%	69%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	20	16 12	% 129	% 12 '	% 2	0%	10%	-	-	-	-		12%	16%	*	8%	15%	*
% of Non-Participants	20	16 19	6 1%	6 0%	6 ()%	0%	-	-	-	-		0%	0%	*	0%	0%	*

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander	Two or More Races			ELL (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	Percent of Eligible Measures Met
Performance Status															
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	N		N						Ν		N		0	4	0
Mathematics	N		N						Ν		N		0	4	0
Writing	Υ		Υ						N		Υ		3	4	75
Science	N		N						Ν		N		0	4	0
Social Studies	N		N						Ν		N		0	4	0
Total													3	20	15
Performance Status	- Federal														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	5	5	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
Federal Graduation S	Status (Targ	et: See Rea	son Codes)											
Graduation Targe	et Ý			•									1	1	100
Met															
Reason Code ***	а														
Total													1	1	100
District: Met Federal	Limits on A	Iternative A	ssessment	s											
Reading															
Alternate 1%	Υ														
Number	*														
Proficient															
Total Federal	*														
Cap Limit															
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	Υ														
Number	*														
Proficient															

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

[&]quot;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

															Percent of
								Two or	•		ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL	Total	Total	Measures
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met
Total Federal	*														
Cap Limit															
Total													1	1	100
Overall Total													15	32	47

Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	
	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	(Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates	_		•								<u> </u>	_,
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	166	7	144	12	-	*	-	*	117	8	46	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	304	13	267	20	_	*	_	*	211	24	116	105
% at Level II Satisfactory	55%	54%	54%	60%	-	*	-	*	55%	33%	40%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	149	7	123	15	_	*	_	*	106	6	48	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	254	13	220	17	_	*	_	*	186	21	92	82
% at Level II Satisfactory	59%	54%	56%	88%	_	*	_	*	57%	29%	52%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	49	*	46	*	_	_	_	*	30	*	20	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	79	*	70	*	_	-	_	*	51	*	33	31
% at Level II Satisfactory	62%	*	66%	*	_	_	_	*	59%	*	61%	n/a
Standard	0270		0070						0070		0.70	
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	61	*	54	5	_	_	_	*	42	*	20	n/a
Standard	-			-								
Total Tests	106	*	94	6	_	_	_	*	81	*	40	35
% at Level II Satisfactory	58%	*	57%	83%	_	_	_	*	52%	*	50%	n/a
Standard	0070		01.70	0070					0270		0070	n, a
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	42	*	39	*	_	_	_	*	22	*	13	n/a
Standard			00									
Total Tests	79	*	69	*	_	_	_	*	53	*	30	27
% at Level II Satisfactory	53%	*	57%	*	_	_	_	*	42%	*	43%	n/a
Standard	0070		01 70						4270		4070	11/4
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme	ents											
Number Participating	349	16	306	22	*	*	_	*	235	28	n/a	133
Total Students	351	16	308	22	*	*	_	*	237	29	n/a	133
Participation Rate	99%	100%	99%	100%	*	*	_	*	99%	97%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse												
Number Participating	293	16	254	18	*	*	_	*	211	25	n/a	106
Total Students	293	16	254	18	*	*	_	*	211	25	n/a	106
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	*	_	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%
. a. a. a. pation i rato	10070	10070	10070	10070					10070	10070	11/4	10070

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20°	15								
Number Graduated	24	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	10	*	8	n/a
Total in Class	24	-	**	*	-	-	-	-	10	*	8	*
Graduation Rate	100.0%	_	100.0%	*	-	-	-	-	100.0%	*	100.0%	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort G	raduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	24	*	20	*	-	-	-	-	10	*	6	n/a
Total in Class	27	*	23	*	-	-	-	-	13	*	6	5
Graduation Rate	88.9%	*	87.0%	*	-	-	-	-	76.9%	*	100.0%	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation F	Rate (Gr 9-12)): Class of 20	014									
Number Graduated	24	*	20	*	-	-	-	-	10	*	6	n/a
Total in Class	27	*	23	*	-	-	-	-	13	*	6	5
Graduation Rate	88.9%	*	87.0%	*	-	-	-	-	76.9%	*	100.0%	n/a

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90% Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current
District: Met Federal Limits or	Alternative	Assessment	ts									
Reading												
Number Proficient	*											
Total Federal Cap Limit	*											
Mathematics												
Number Proficient	*											
Total Federal Cap Limit	*											

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- ** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Results available at campus level only.

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

Results available at campus level only.

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	District		State	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	3,524.0	1.0%
Bachelors	19.8	69.1%	259,559.7	74.7%
Masters	8.8	30.9%	82,029.5	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	2,158.9	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

All Campuses

		General Education	Special Education	Tota
Total Number of Teachers		24	0	24
Total Number of Classes		33	0	33
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	33	0	33
	Percent	100.00%		100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	(
	Percent	0.00%		0.00%
	Percent	0.00%	•	0.

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers					
General Education	Special Education					
0	0					
0	0					
-						
	General Education 0 0					

High Poverty Campuses Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Tota
Total Number of Teachers		14	0	14
Total Number of Classes		22	0	22
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	22	0	22
	Percent	100.00%		100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	(
• • • •	Percent	0.00%		0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Teachers		
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12	
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	(
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	(
Non-renewable	0	(
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	(
District Teaching	0	(
Temporary	0	(

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers		
	General Education	Special Education	
Highly Qualified	0	(
Not Highly Qualified	0	C	

Low Poverty Campuses

Core Academic Subject Areas

Report Not Required

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

Report Not Required

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

Report Not Required

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Year Enrolled in Higher Education	District	Region 20	State
2013-14	72.0%	55.6%	57.5%
2012-13	68.4%	54.6%	56.9%

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
	ŭ	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	ŭ	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1

https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/cgi/sas/broker? service=marykay&year4=2015&year2... 1/9/2018

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
	-	Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment